Singapore Mathematical Society

Singapore Mathematical Olympiad (SMO) 2011

(Senior Section, Round 2 solutions)

1. There is an error in this problem. The triangle is not necessarily equilateral. In fact we shall prove that the altitude at A, the bisector of $\angle B$ and the median at C meet at a common point if and only if $\cos B = \frac{a}{a+c}$ where BC = a, CA = b and AB = c.

Let D, E and F be the points on BC, CA and AB respectively such that AD is the altitude at A, BE is the bisector of $\angle B$ and CF is the median at C. Suppose that AD, BE, CF meet at a common point. The point of concurrence of AD, BE and CFmust lie inside the triangle ABC. Since F is the midpoint of AB, by Ceva's theorem CE : EA = CD : DB. Using the angle bisector theorem, CE : EA = a : c. Thus $CD = a^2/(a+c)$ and DB = ac/(a+c). Thus $\cos B = \frac{BD}{AB} = \frac{a}{a+c}$.



Conversely, if $\cos B = \frac{a}{a+c}$, then $\angle B$ is acute and $BD = c \cos B = ac/(a+c) < a$ so that D is within BC. Thus $DC = a - ac/(a+c) = a^2/(a+c)$. Therefore BD/DC = c/a. Consequently (AF/FB)(BD/DC)(CE/EA) = 1. By Ceva's theorem, AD, BE and CF are concurrent.

So given a and c, the acute angle B and hence the triangle ABC is determined. If $a \neq c$, then the triangle ABC is not equilateral.

2. Yes, in fact, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a set S_k having k elements satisfying the given condition. For k = 1, let S_1 be any singleton set. For k = 2, let $S_2 = \{2, 3\}$. Suppose that $S_k = \{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$ satisfies the given conditions. Let

$$b_1 = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$$

 $b_j = b_1 + a_{j-1}, \ 2 \le j \le k+1$

Let $S_{k+1} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k+1}\}$. Then the fact that S_{k+1} satisfies the required property can be verified by observing that |m - n| = (m, n) if and only if (m - n) divides m.

3. We shall show that n = 3 or 7. Let $f(n) = \cos \frac{\pi}{n} \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} \cos \frac{3\pi}{n}$. One can verify that $f(1) = 1, f(2) = 0, f(3) = \frac{1}{4}, f(4) = 0, f(5) = -\cos^2 \frac{2\pi}{5} \cos \frac{\pi}{5} < 0, f(6) = 0$ and $f(8) = \frac{1}{4}$. We shall show that $f(7) = \frac{1}{8}$.

Let ABC be an isosceles triangle with $\angle A = \frac{\pi}{7}$, $\angle B = \angle C = \frac{3\pi}{7}$, BC = 1 and AB = AC = x. Let D be the point on AC such that $\angle CBD = \frac{2\pi}{7}$. Let BD = y. Then the triangles BCD and ADB are isosceles with BC = CD = 1 and AD = BD = y. Thus $\cos \frac{\pi}{7} = \cos A = \frac{x}{2y}$, $\cos \frac{2\pi}{7} = \cos \angle CBD = \frac{y}{2}$, and $\cos \frac{3\pi}{7} = \cos C = \frac{1}{2x}$. Therefore, $\cos \frac{\pi}{7} \cos \frac{2\pi}{7} \cos \frac{3\pi}{7} = \frac{1}{8}$.



Lastly, let's show that $f(n) \neq \frac{1}{n+1}$ for $n \geq 9$. For $n \geq 9$, we have $0 < \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{2\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n} < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Since cosine is a decreasing function on $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, we have f(n) is an increasing function of n for $n \geq 9$. Consequently, $f(n) \geq f(9) > \cos^3 \frac{3\pi}{9} = \frac{1}{8} > \frac{1}{n+1}$.

4. Let $a_i = \max S_i$. Without loss of generality, assume that $a_1 \leq a_i$ for all *i*. We shall prove by induction on *k*. For k = 2, since $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$, $a_1 \in S_2$. Therefore $X = \{a_1\}$ works. Now assume that the result is true for k - 1. Let \mathbb{I} be the collection consisting of S_1 and the sets S_i such that $S_i \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ and let \mathbb{J} be the collection of the other sets. Note that a_1 is contained in all the sets in \mathbb{I} . If $|\mathbb{J}| < k - 1$, then the set X consisting of one integer from each of the sets in \mathbb{J} together with a_1 has the desired property. Otherwise, consider a collection \mathbb{K} of k - 1 sets in \mathbb{J} . \mathbb{K} , together with S_1 , forms a collection of k sets. Among these there are two that have nonempty intersection. Since S_1 does not intersect any of the sets in \mathbb{J} , these two sets must come from \mathbb{K} . Thus by the induction hypothesis, there is a set X' of k - 2 integers such that every set in \mathbb{J} contains one integer in X'. Thus $X = X' \cup \{a_1\}$ has the desired property.

5. Dividing each of the numerator and denominator of LHS by $2x_1x_2$, $2x_2x_3$, ..., writing $a_1 = \frac{x_3x_4}{x_1x_2}$, $a_2 = \frac{x_4x_5}{x_2x_3}$, ..., and noting that $x_i^2 + x_{i+1}^2 \ge 2x_ix_{i+1}$, we get

$$2 \times LHS \le \frac{1}{1+a_1} + \frac{1}{1+a_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{1+a_n}.$$

Note that $a_1a_2\cdots a_n = 1$. It suffices to show that

$$\frac{a_1}{1+a_1} + \frac{a_2}{1+a_2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{1+a_n} \ge 1 \tag{(*)}$$

.

since it is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{1+a_1} + \frac{1}{1+a_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{1+a_n} \le n-1.$$

We shall show that (*) is true for $n \ge 2$. The case n = 2 is obvious. We will now prove it by induction. Suppose (*) holds for n = k. Now assume $a_1 \cdots a_{k+1} = 1$, $a_i > 0$ for all *i*. To prove the inductive step, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{a_k}{1+a_k} + \frac{a_{k+1}}{1+a_{k+1}} \ge \frac{a_k a_{k+1}}{1+a_k a_{k+1}}.$$

which can be verified directly.

Note: This is an extension of the problem :

$$\frac{x_1^2}{x_1^2 + x_2 x_3} + \frac{x_2^2}{x_2^2 + x_3 x_4} + \dots + \frac{x_{n-1}^2}{x_{n-1} + x_n x_1} + \frac{x_n^2}{x_n^2 + x_1 x_2} \le n - 1.$$